

Ethical code

ANODOS. Studies of the Ancient World and **ANODOS–Supplementum** are peer reviewed foreign language conference proceedings with a long tradition, published since 2001 by the Department of Classical Archaeology, Faculty of Philosophy and Arts of Trnava University in Trnava. They focus on the history and material culture of the ancient world and its adjacent territories. The proceedings contain contributions on the latest research in the field of classical and Roman provincial archaeology in a broad chronological framework from the Bronze Age to late antiquity.

The publisher and the editorial board recognise the importance of research integrity and publishing ethics. They take responsibility for the quality and originality of published content, and its compliance with ethical principles. They ensure that the scholarly articles published in the proceedings are authorised and original, implementing measures to avoid plagiarism, and expecting the same approach from authors and reviewers. The publisher and the editorial board support freedom of academic expression and academic debate.

The principles stated below are based on the guidelines of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE): <http://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines> and the Publishing ethics resource (PERK) provided by Elsevier: <https://www.elsevier.com/editors/perk>

When writing a contribution, authors are asked to follow the **Guidelines on manuscript files** →. The author is responsible for the correctness and accuracy of all data and for acknowledging sources, including the sources of illustrations. The quality and extent of the contribution must meet the standards for a scientific article in the relevant category. Submitted manuscripts must be based on original, previously unpublished research. If the text has been published before, the author is expected to revise and expand it thoroughly. The revised or expanded text must demonstrate an advance in knowledge and contain a reference to the original article. All forms of plagiarism, duplicate or redundant publication, and data manipulation are serious ethical failures and will be regarded as scientific fraud. If an author finds insufficiencies or serious failures in the contribution, he or she is obliged to inform the editorial board and remove these mistakes independently of the peer review process. Editors reserve the right to reject the manuscript if it does not meet the formal requirements, if its content is not within the thematic scope of the proceeding, or if it seriously violates publishing ethics.